

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/604,154	ZHOU, YONG	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Angela M. Hoffa	3768	

All Participants:

(1) Angela M. Hoffa.

Status of Application: response to non-final action

(3) _____.

(2) Kevin Rosin.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 October 2010

Time: 6:00 pm

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Scope of enablement, all claims

Claims discussed:

Claims 1, 6, 14

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/A. M. Hoffa/

Examiner, Art Unit 3768

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Proposed amendments were made to enter as an examiner's amendment and allow the case. These proposed amendments are included in the final office action to be mailed with this correspondence. Applicant requested that the final office action be mailed anyway and will probably make the suggested changes in the next response to expedite allowance..